

**Laser Ranger Baseline System Validation - Five Point Zero Baseline Method
Slope Distance Reduction and Elevation Difference Calculation**

Note: Fill in the yellow boxes with your baseline observations

Height of swivel point to laser ranger

0.27

Laser ranger constant value

0

SD equals slope distance
VA equals vertical angle in deci
TSD equals true slope distance
HD equals horizontal distance
EI Diff equals elevation difference

At point #

1

Height of swivel point

1.16

Pt# equals point number
HT equals height of target

To Pt#	HT	SD	VA	TSD	HD	EI Diff
2	0.25	6.001	-12	6.058	5.926	-0.074
3	0.25	11.731	-5.87	11.759	11.697	-0.021
4	0.25	23.224	-2.63	23.236	23.212	0.114
5	0.37	29.435	-2.15	29.445	29.424	-0.044

At point #

2

Height of swivel point

1.16

To Pt#	HT	SD	VA	TSD	HD	EI Diff
1	0.25	6.019	-11.73	6.075	5.948	-0.049
3	0.25	5.854	-11.83	5.911	5.785	-0.026
4	0.25	17.323	-3.65	17.340	17.305	0.077
5	0.37	23.528	-2.75	23.541	23.514	-0.069

At point #

3

Height of swivel point

1.14

To Pt#	HT	SD	VA	TSD	HD	EI Diff
1	0.25	11.739	-6	11.767	11.703	-0.069
2	0.25	5.869	-12	5.926	5.797	-0.066
4	0.31	11.583	-5.35	11.608	11.558	0.019
5	0.37	17.779	-3.67	17.796	17.760	-0.099

At point #

4

Height of swivel point

1.14

To Pt#	HT	SD	VA	TSD	HD	EI Diff
1	0.25	23.244	-3.22	23.259	23.222	-0.146
2	0.25	17.35	-4.32	17.370	17.321	-0.148
3	0.31	11.589	-6.45	11.620	11.546	-0.204
5	0.31	6.306	-11.67	6.362	6.230	-0.181

At point #

5

Height of swivel point

1.14

To Pt#	HT	SD	VA	TSD	HD	EI Diff
1	0.31	29.44	-2.22	29.450	29.428	-0.041
2	0.31	23.538	-2.82	23.551	23.523	-0.058
3	0.25	17.773	-3.82	17.791	17.752	-0.025
4	0.25	6.281	-10.02	6.329	6.232	0.063

Forward and Reverse Distance Comparisons

Line	Distance	Line	Distance	Difference	Mean	Line
1-2	5.926	2-1	5.948	0.022	5.937	a

1-3	11.697	3-1	11.703	0.006	11.700	b
1-4	23.212	4-1	23.222	0.011	23.217	c
1-5	29.424	5-1	29.428	0.004	29.426	d
2-3	5.785	3-2	5.797	0.012	5.791	e
2-4	17.305	4-2	17.321	0.016	17.313	f
2-5	23.514	5-2	23.523	0.009	23.518	g
3-4	11.558	4-3	11.546	0.012	11.552	h
3-5	17.760	5-3	17.752	0.008	17.756	i
4-5	6.230	5-4	6.232	0.002	6.231	j
Average				0.010		

The following line sums provide an indication whether there is an unacceptable systematic error in the measuring system. The values in theory should be zero.

Systematic

Theoretical	Actual	Error
a+e-b=0	0.028	0.028
a+e+h-c=0	0.063	0.031
a+f-c=0	0.033	0.033
b+h-c=0	0.035	0.035
a+e+i-d=0	0.057	0.029
a+e+h+j-d=0	0.085	0.028
b+h+j-d=0	0.057	0.028
c+j-d=0	0.022	0.022
a+f+j-d=0	0.055	0.027
a+g-d=0	0.029	0.029
b+i-d=0	0.029	0.029
j+h-i=0	0.027	0.027
j+h+e-g=0	0.056	0.028
j+f-g=0	0.026	0.026
e+h-f=0	0.030	0.030
		0.029

Note: There appears to be a systematic error of + 3 centimetres. I am not sure where the error source is. Frankly, I am quite surprised to discover this systematic error as the error source is not obvious. I will have to investigate and test to find the source. The constant error seems to be very consistent. I should be able to reduce the error budget to be similar to the one centimetre precision of the measuring system that we saw above.

Mean

Forward and Reverse Elevation Difference Comparisons

Line	Elev Diff	Line	Elev Diff	Difference
1-2	-0.074	2-1	-0.049	-0.123
1-3	-0.021	3-1	-0.069	-0.090
1-4	0.114	4-1	-0.146	-0.032
1-5	-0.044	5-1	-0.041	-0.085
2-3	-0.026	3-2	-0.066	-0.092
2-4	0.077	4-2	-0.148	-0.071
2-5	-0.069	5-2	-0.058	-0.127
3-4	0.019	4-3	-0.204	-0.185
3-5	-0.099	5-3	-0.025	-0.123
4-5	-0.181	5-4	0.063	0.244
Average				-0.068

Note: These elevation differences are not quite what I expected. I will have to perform additional baseline tests in order to determine how to reduce the error budget.

imals of degrees

,

ce